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Abstract—A major recent trend in remote sensing research is the analysis of satellite image time series for land use and land cover monitoring and mapping. In this paper, we describe the Time-Weighted Dynamic Time Warping algorithm, which improves on previously proposed methods for land cover and land use classification. The method is based on the dynamic time warping method that measures similarity between two temporal sequences. We modified this method to account for seasonality of land cover types. The resulting algorithm is flexible to account for different cropland systems, tropical forests, and pasture using few training samples. The algorithm had better results than other Dynamic Time Warping variations for land classification. The method is suitable to make land use and land cover maps and has potential for large-scale analysis at country or continental scale, using global data sets such as the MODIS sensor.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Since remote sensing satellites revisit the same place repeatedly, we can adjust their images so measures of the same place in different times are comparable. From a data analysis perspective, researchers then have access to space-time data sets. This has lead to growing research on satellite image time series analysis. Algorithms for analysing image time series include methods for time series reconstruction [1], for detecting trend and seasonal changes [2]–[4], for extracting seasonality information [5], land cover mapping [6], detecting forest disturbance and recovery [7]–[9], crop classification [10]–[12], planted forest mapping [13], and crop expansion and intensification [14], [15].

This paper describes a new image time series analysis algorithm which improves on previously proposed methods for land cover and land use classification. Our method uses a time-weighted version of the Dynamic Time Warping (DTW) algorithm. DTW works by comparing a temporal signature of a known event (e.g. a person’s speech) to an unknown time series (e.g. a speech record of unknown origin) [16]–[20]. The algorithm compares two time series and finds their optimal alignment, providing a dissimilarity measure as a result [19]. DTW provides a robust distance measure for comparing time series, even irregularly sampled or if they are out of phase in the time axis [21].

The original DTW algorithm is not well suited for time series analysis of land use and land cover data. It disregards the temporal range when finding the best alignment between two time series [19], [22]. However, when dealing with land change, we cannot ignore time completely. Each agricultural crop has a distinct phenological cycle that is relevant for space-time classification [23], [24]. Consider, for example, the difference between the phenological cycles for crops, such as, soybean and corn ranging from 90 to 120 days and sugarcane from 360 to 520 days. To distinguish between these cycles, it is useful to include a temporal weighting function in the DTW method.

Recent papers by [12] and [25] have used DTW for satellite image time series classification. The method proposed in these papers sets a maximum time delay to avoid inconsistent temporal distortions based on the date of the satellite images. The time series is split in one year segments to match the agricultural phenological cycle in Europe. However, this temporal segmentation reduces the power of the DTW classifier. Crops with phenological cycles longer than one year or taking place in different seasons may not be detected. To avoid this problem, we introduce a time-weighted extension to the DTW algorithm, which classifies temporal segments of a remote sensing time series without splitting it into fixed parts. This method is flexible to account for multイヤear crops, single cropping and, double cropping. It is also robust to account for other land cover types such as forest and pasture and works with a small amount of training samples.

This paper describes the time-weighted DTW algorithm (TWDWTW) for land use and land cover classification and compares the TWDWTW accuracy with the original DTW algorithm without time constraints and with the time delay DTW proposed by [12]. We show a case study area in the Brazilian Amazon with high land changes dynamics and compare the results with other land use and land cover products.

II. METHODS

Remote sensing satellites cycle the Earth at regular intervals, and thus their data are mappable to a three-dimensional array in space-time Fig. (1a). Each pixel location \((x, y)\) in consecutive times, \(t_1, \ldots, t_m\), makes up a satellite image time series (SITS), from that we can extract land use and land cover information, such as the SITS in Fig. (1b). In the example, during the first two years the area was covered by forest, then it was deforested in 2002. The area was then used for cattle raising (pasture) for three years. After that, it was used for crop production for three years from 2006 to 2008.

Let \(\mathbf{v}^{x,y} = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m)\) be a time series of a pixel location \((x, y)\) in consecutive times, \(t_1, \ldots, t_m\), where \(v\) is
the value of the sensor measure at time $t$. For the satellite’s coverage, we get a set of time series $S = \{V_1, V_2, ..., V_s\}$. We want to classify time intervals of this set into one of the possible land use and land cover classes. Each temporal interval, ideally, corresponds to a stable period of land cover and land use, that we want to associate with one of our land classes. For example, suppose a ten year period where in the first five years the area was covered by forest. The area was then used for cattle raising (pasture) for two years. After that, it was used for soybean production for three years. We want to associate each of these intervals with one of our land classes.

Optical remotely sensed data are affected by cloud cover that introduces a large amount of noise in STIS, as shown in Fig. (1b). Inter-annual climate variability also changes the phenological cycles of the vegetation, resulting in STIS whose periods and intensities do not match on an year to year basis [23]. To associate intervals of a SITS with land cover and land use classes, we need methods suitable for noisy and out-of-phase time series. We chose the Dynamic Time Warping (DTW) algorithm because it is suitable for this problem.

The papers by [12] and [25] applied the DTW algorithm to classify intervals of SITS, such as in Fig. (2a). In this case, two time series have approximately the same length and the first and last points in both time series must match. In practice, crop phenological cycles can vary in an year-to-year basis, depending on climate conditions and land management, for example shifting the greenup and dormancy stages of the vegetation [23], [24]. To avoid possible inconsistent matching of phenological cycles caused by splitting the time series we use an open boundary version of DTW, Fig. (2b). The open boundary method does not require two time series to be of the same length, and it is suitable to find all possible matches of one pattern within a long-term time series [26].

The open boundary DTW algorithm disregard the time dimension and can cause inconsistent phase alignments, e.g. an winter crop can match in the summer time with low DTW distance. Therefore, we introduce an extra cost that controls the time warping and makes the time series alignment dependent on the dates of the year. This is especially useful for detecting seasonal crops and for distinguishing pasture from agriculture.

Our classification method using open boundary DTW [26] requires matching subsequences of the time series associated with each pixel location to samples of the expected classes. For each class $c$, we take a set of time series samples $Q_c = \{U_1, U_2, ..., U_q\}$, where $U = (u_1, ..., u_n)$ is a time series with $n \ll m$ (i.e. the pattern length is much shorter than the sensor time series $V$). These samples are then used to classify the intervals of the time series $V \in S$.

The classification runs independently for each pixel location and has two steps. We start applying the DTW algorithm for each pattern in $Q$ and each time series $V \in S$. This step provides information about the matches of the patterns within the time series. In the second step we use the DTW matches to build the sequence of land use and land cover maps.

**A. Step 1: DTW Alignment**

The DTW alignment starts by computing a $n$-by-$m$ matrix $\Psi$, whose elements $\psi_{i,j}$ are the absolute difference between $u_i \in U \forall i = 1, ..., n$ and $v_j \in V \forall j = 1, ..., m$. From $\Psi$ we compute an accumulated cost matrix $D$ by a recursive sum of the minimal distances, such that

$$d_{i,j} = \psi_{i,j} + \min\{d_{i-1,j}, d_{i-1,j-1}, d_{i,j-1}\},$$

that is subject to the following boundary conditions:

$$d_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \psi_{i,j} & i = 1, j = 1 \\ \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \psi_{k,j} & 1 < i \leq n, j = 1 \\ \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \psi_{i,k} & i = 1, 1 < j \leq m \end{cases}$$

The Fig. (3) shows an example of the accumulated cost matrix $D$. Intuitively, the DTW alignment runs along the “valleys” of low cost within the accumulated cost matrix $D$, that has as many ”valleys” as the number of matches between
The original DTW algorithm does not account for the phase difference between the time series [22]. However land use and land cover types have distinct phenological cycles that are relevant for space-time classification [23], [24]. Therefore, here we propose a Time-Weighted DTW (TWDTW) based on the date of each pixel in the satellite image. This time-weighted version of DTW adds a temporal cost \( \omega \) to the cost matrix \( \Psi \), whose elements become \( \psi_{i,j} = |u_i - v_j| + \omega_{i,j} \). To compute the temporal cost we propose both a linear

\[
\omega_{i,j} = g(t_i, t_j)
\]

and a logistic model with midpoint \( \beta \), and steepness \( \alpha \), such that

\[
\omega_{i,j} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\alpha(g(t_i, t_j) - \beta)}}
\]

where \( g(t_i, t_j) \) is the elapsed time in days between the dates \( t_i \) in the pattern and \( t_j \) in the time series. We ran many tests using different values of \( \beta \) and \( \alpha \). We then used the best global accuracy performance to set the parameters for the logistic time-weighted DTW.

**B. Step 2: Map building**

The DTW algorithm matches each pattern to the input time series independently from the others. Thus, each interval of the time series \( V \) can fit different patterns. To associate an interval of the time series \( V \) to a land cover and land use class, we choose the best fitting pattern, i.e. the pattern with the lowest DTW distance in the interval. After finding the best fit, we can produce maps that show a land cover and land use classification for a given period.
One scientific problem of particular importance to the authors is understanding changes in Brazilian Amazonia. The Brazilian Amazonian rain forest occupies an area of 4,100,000 km² where 720,000 km² have been deforested since the 1970s [32]. In the Copenhagen COP-15 Climate Conference in 2009, Brazil pledged to reduce deforestation in Amazonia by 80% relative to the average of the period 1996-2005. Brazil is making good this pledge, as deforestation in Amazonia fell from 27,700 km² in 2004 to 4,900 in 2012, decreasing by 83%. Considering the significant impact of land changes in Amazonia on global biodiversity, emissions, and ecological services, it is important to understand the driving forces that cause forest removal [33]. INPE (Brazil’s National Institute for Space Research) and EMBRAPA (Brazil’s Agricultural Research Agency) published recently a study that accounts for the land use of the deforested areas in Amazonia up to 2008 [34]. The results show that about 63% of the forest cuts are now used for cattle raising, including clean and degraded pasture. Cattle ranches in Amazonia use extensive practices, with less than 1 head of cattle per hectare. Cash crop agriculture accounts for only 4% of the deforestation. Moreover, more than 20% of the area has been abandoned and is now regrowing as secondary vegetation. To achieve further gains in reducing deforestation and biodiversity loss, we need to understand the different land use trajectories, including the deforestation dynamics, land use intensification, and land abandonment pathways.

We ran a case study in an area in Amazonia, Brazil, with strong deforestation and cropland expansion in the last decade. We selected the Porto dos Gaúchos municipality, that covers approximately 7,000 km² and is located in the state of Mato Grosso, Brazil, inside of the Amazon Biome. In 2013 its total deforested area was 3023.6 km², that is 42.9% of the original forest cover [32]. The cropland area grew from 59.8 km² in 2000 to 580.8 km² in 2013 [35]. We chose the most important classes for that area: forest, secondary vegetation, pasture, single cropping, and double cropping. These classes are the most relevant ones for our study on trajectories of change in Amazonia.

Our classification method requires a set of temporal patterns of the chosen land use/cover classes. Each pattern is the averaged EVI signal for a land cover class according to the agricultural calendar from July to June. We defined the temporal patterns of forest, pasture, single cropping, and double cropping based on the paper by [36], that presented typical temporal patterns of EVI for different crops and natural vegetation for the same region of our case study. Each class has one or two patterns that are showed in Fig. (5).

Since the EVI profile of "forest" and "secondary vegetation" is similar, after we find the best pattern for each map we split our "forest" class into "forest" and "secondary vegetation". This requires a land cover transition rule to split the "forest" class. Areas matching a forest pattern were classified as forest, and therefore there is no secondary vegetation in the first year of our classification.

To assess our classification algorithm we used 40 random selected spatial location from 2001 to 2014 that sums up to 489...
TABLE I: Equivalent classes for comparison between the TWDTW classification and MODIS land cover collection 5, Plant Functional Type (PFT).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aggregated MODIS PFT</th>
<th>TWDTW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forest</td>
<td>Forest, and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Evergreen Needleleaf trees,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Evergreen Broadleaf trees,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Secondary Vegetation, and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deciduous Broadleaf trees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pastureland</td>
<td>Pasture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrub and Grass</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cropland</td>
<td>Single cropping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and Double cropping</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5: Temporal patterns of EVI MODIS 16 days. Adapted from [36].

Fig. 6: Linear and logistic time-weight. The logistic weight has midpoint \( \beta = 100 \text{ days} \) and steepness \( \alpha = 0.1 \).

IV. RESULTS

The logistic time-weighted DTW had the best performance for \( \alpha = 0.1 \) and \( \beta = 100 \text{ days} \) (global accuracy 87.32%), meaning a low penalty for time warps smaller than 60 days and significant costs for bigger time warps Fig. (6). In the algorithm proposed by [12] we tested maximum time delays ranging from 30 to 130 days, and found the best performance when the delay was set to 100 days with global accuracy 84.66%. The linear Time-Weighted DTW had global accuracy 81.6% and the DTW without time restrictions only 70.14%.

The area with the Brazilian national cropland surveys [35], which is the only existing source of annual information on land use. The cropland survey estimates come from public and private agents involved in the crop production and trade.

We compared the accuracy of our classification and the MODIS land cover collection 5, Plant Functional Type (PFT) 500 m [38] based on our validation points. In order to compare our results with MODIS PFT we used the equivalent classes in Table I. Originally, the study area was covered by forest, and therefore, the other land cover types that appear later result from human activities. We aggregated the MODIS categories of trees to a class called forest. We also assume that MODIS shrub and grass classes are used as pastureland for cattle raising, and the categories of cereal crops and broad-leaf crops are aggregated to a class called cropland. Other MODIS classes are less than 0.008% of the pixels in this area, and thus they were not considered in this paper.

We also compared our forest area with estimations by the Amazon Monitoring Program PRODES [32], and the cropland samples. The samples were classified by visual interpretation of Landsat images using the Google Earth Engine [37]. To separate our classes we used a set of images corresponding to the agricultural year from July to June. For each year we used at least 4 images showing different phenological stages of the vegetation that allow us to distinguish: forest, pasture, single cropping, and double cropping.

We compared the accuracy of our classification and the MODIS land cover collection 5, Plant Functional Type (PFT) 500 m [38] based on our validation points. In order to compare our results with MODIS PFT we used the equivalent classes in Table I. Originally, the study area was covered by forest, and therefore, the other land cover types that appear later result from human activities. We aggregated the MODIS categories of trees to a class called forest. We also assume that MODIS shrub and grass classes are used as pastureland for cattle raising, and the categories of cereal crops and broad-leaf crops are aggregated to a class called cropland. Other MODIS classes are less than 0.008% of the pixels in this area, and thus they were not considered in this paper.

We also compared our forest area with estimations by the Amazon Monitoring Program PRODES [32], and the cropland samples. The samples were classified by visual interpretation of Landsat images using the Google Earth Engine [37]. To separate our classes we used a set of images corresponding to the agricultural year from July to June. For each year we used at least 4 images showing different phenological stages of the vegetation that allow us to distinguish: forest, pasture, single cropping, and double cropping.

We compared the accuracy of our classification and the MODIS land cover collection 5, Plant Functional Type (PFT) 500 m [38] based on our validation points. In order to compare our results with MODIS PFT we used the equivalent classes in Table I. Originally, the study area was covered by forest, and therefore, the other land cover types that appear later result from human activities. We aggregated the MODIS categories of trees to a class called forest. We also assume that MODIS shrub and grass classes are used as pastureland for cattle raising, and the categories of cereal crops and broad-leaf crops are aggregated to a class called cropland. Other MODIS classes are less than 0.008% of the pixels in this area, and thus they were not considered in this paper.

We also compared our forest area with estimations by the Amazon Monitoring Program PRODES [32], and the cropland samples. The samples were classified by visual interpretation of Landsat images using the Google Earth Engine [37]. To separate our classes we used a set of images corresponding to the agricultural year from July to June. For each year we used at least 4 images showing different phenological stages of the vegetation that allow us to distinguish: forest, pasture, single cropping, and double cropping.

We compared the accuracy of our classification and the MODIS land cover collection 5, Plant Functional Type (PFT) 500 m [38] based on our validation points. In order to compare our results with MODIS PFT we used the equivalent classes in Table I. Originally, the study area was covered by forest, and therefore, the other land cover types that appear later result from human activities. We aggregated the MODIS categories of trees to a class called forest. We also assume that MODIS shrub and grass classes are used as pastureland for cattle raising, and the categories of cereal crops and broad-leaf crops are aggregated to a class called cropland. Other MODIS classes are less than 0.008% of the pixels in this area, and thus they were not considered in this paper.

We also compared our forest area with estimations by the Amazon Monitoring Program PRODES [32], and the cropland samples. The samples were classified by visual interpretation of Landsat images using the Google Earth Engine [37]. To separate our classes we used a set of images corresponding to the agricultural year from July to June. For each year we used at least 4 images showing different phenological stages of the vegetation that allow us to distinguish: forest, pasture, single cropping, and double cropping.

We compared the accuracy of our classification and the MODIS land cover collection 5, Plant Functional Type (PFT) 500 m [38] based on our validation points. In order to compare our results with MODIS PFT we used the equivalent classes in Table I. Originally, the study area was covered by forest, and therefore, the other land cover types that appear later result from human activities. We aggregated the MODIS categories of trees to a class called forest. We also assume that MODIS shrub and grass classes are used as pastureland for cattle raising, and the categories of cereal crops and broad-leaf crops are aggregated to a class called cropland. Other MODIS classes are less than 0.008% of the pixels in this area, and thus they were not considered in this paper.
TABLE II: Accuracy assessment for each class based on 489 reference samples classified from the Landsat images.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Double cropping</th>
<th>Forest</th>
<th>Pasture</th>
<th>Single cropping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>User (%)</td>
<td>Producer (%)</td>
<td>User (%)</td>
<td>Producer (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTW without time restrictions</td>
<td>74.65</td>
<td>46.09</td>
<td>88.51</td>
<td>72.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTW with maximum delay of 100 days</td>
<td>88.89</td>
<td>90.43</td>
<td>93.00</td>
<td>87.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear TWDTW</td>
<td>96.70</td>
<td>76.52</td>
<td>96.81</td>
<td>85.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logistic TWDTW for ( \alpha = 0.1 ) and ( \beta = 100 ) days</td>
<td>92.04</td>
<td>90.43</td>
<td>94.00</td>
<td>88.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE III: Confusion matrices based on 489 reference samples classified from the Landsat images.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predicted (%)</th>
<th>Reference (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Double cropping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTW without time restrictions</td>
<td>53  3  4  12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTW with maximum delay of 100 days</td>
<td>104 1 1 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear TWDTW</td>
<td>88  0 0  3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logistic TWDTW for ( \alpha = 0.1 ) and ( \beta = 100 ) days</td>
<td>104 0 0  9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

which means a higher confidence than the linear TWDTW classification for single cropping, 75.00%. These results of the logistic TWDW were similar to the results obtained using the maximum time delay DTW, which classified 9 pixels of double cropping and 18 pixels of pasture as single cropping. However, the logistic TWDTW had higher sensitivity than the maximum time delay DTW (84.85% in comparison to 75.76% cf. Table II), that classified 11 pixels as double cropping, 6 as pasture and unclassified other 7 pixels out of 99 pixels of single cropping.

For the aggregated classes in Table I the logistic TWDTW had global accuracy 91.21% that is higher than the global accuracy of MODIS land cover collection 5 PFT, 79.36%. The accuracy assessment of the logistic TWDTW and the MODIS land cover is showed in Table IV. The logistic TWDTW had higher user and producer accuracies than the MODIS classification for all classes. Although, MODIS had high user accuracy for forest (87.2%) and cropland (89.33%), its producer accuracy for these classes was low, 77.37% and 75.28%, respectively.

We also compared the classification results with the Brazilian national cropland surveys [35]. Fig. (7) shows the area of single cropping and double cropping estimated by using the logistic TWDTW algorithm and the Brazilian national cropland survey [35] for Porto dos Gaúchos. There is a general agreement between our results and the crop surveys, except in the years 2009 and 2010.

The total forest (pristine forest) and the secondary vegetation areas are presented in Fig. (8). The forest area estimated using the logistic ‘TWDTW’ is in line with the area estimated by PRODES [32]. Most of the deforestation occurred before 2005, which was followed by an increase of the secondary vegetation areas.
The results show that it pays to have a flexible approach to temporal restrictions when using DTW for land cover and land use classification. Completely disregarding the time dimension, as the original DTW method does, precludes an accurate land use and land cover classification. The maximum time delay, proposed by [12], is flexible for small time warps. However it forces the dynamic algorithm, Eq. (5), to map the warping path inside of a limiting time window that can preclude the classification of some areas (cf. unclassified samples in Table III). A large cost for small time warps, as the linear TWDTW method does, harms the classification and reduces its sensitivity. The linear TWDTW had low producer’s accuracy respectively 78.11%, 76.52%, when classifying pasture and double cropping (cf. Table II). The phenological cycles in agricultural areas, i.e. pasture, double cropping, and single cropping, have great inter-annual variability depending on climate conditions and land management. The time constraints included in the DTW similarity measure should be flexible to handle with the small phase changes related to natural phenological variability. The logistic TWDTW had better results for these land use classes, because of its low penalty for small time warps and its significant costs for large time warps. Its better accuracy derives from its flexibility to find the best match between a pattern and an interval within a long-term time series.

The logistic TWDTW classification and other land use and land cover products are generally comparable. For example, our classification was in line with Brazilian national cropland surveys, except in the years 2009 and 2010, Fig. (7). In these years, it is likely that the cropland area has been underestimated in the survey because the large variations between 2008 and 2009 and between 2010 and 2011 are difficult to explain otherwise. Since the time-weighted DTW is a direct measure of the cropland area and its results are spatially distributed, we consider the TWDTW estimations more consistent than the cropland survey estimations.

The forest area estimated using the logistic TWDTW was similar to the forest area from the Amazon Monitoring Program (PRODES) Fig. (8). However, our algorithm gave higher estimates for the forest area until 2006 and lower estimates during the subsequent years. The higher forest area estimated by the logistic TWDTW compared to PRODES in the first years of the time series is likely related to their different scale of analysis. While we used MODIS images with 250 m spatial resolution the PRODES project uses 30 m Landsat images. Therefore PRODES is capable of detecting deforestation in small areas that may not be detected at the MODIS resolution.

In the second part of the graphic in Fig. (8), the lower forest area estimated by our method was caused by the transition rule used in our algorithm to separate the secondary vegetation from the forest. Applying this rule an area that changes from forest to any other land class cannot become forest again. For example, after a degradation event (e.g. by fire) the area is classified as secondary vegetation in our algorithm, cf. Fig. (11). Therefore, our estimation reduces from the forest area both deforested and degraded areas, whereas PRODES reduces

V. Discussion

The total agricultural areas (pasture, single cropping, and double cropping) are showed in Fig. (9). In the time series, the pasture and single cropping areas were increasing until 2006, while the double cropping area has a growing trend during the whole period. In the last two years of the time series, the double cropping exceeded the single cropping area.

The Fig. (10) shows the spatial distribution of land use and land cover in Porto dos Gaúchos for each second agricultural year from 2001 to 2013. In the last decade, a cropland intensification has happened in the East part of Porto dos Gaúchos while pasture expansion has taken place in the West part.
Fig. 10: Land use/cover maps produced by using the logistic TWDTW classification. Each map shows the classification for an agricultural year (from July to June) in Porto dos Gaúchos.
from the forest area only the deforestation by clear-cutting, i.e. it reduces the forest area only when most or all the trees are uniformly removed.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper we propose a Time-Weighted Dynamic Time Warping algorithm based method for land use and land cover classification of remote sensing time series. The method is a variation of the well-known dynamic time warping (DTW) method for data mining in time series. DTW works with a small amount of patterns training samples. We extended DTW to include a temporal restriction that accounts for the inter-annual variability of land cover classes. In the paper, we show that the method achieves a high accuracy for mapping classes of single cropping, double cropping, forest, and pasture in a tropical forest area.

We compared the proposed algorithm with alternative methods of using the dynamic time warping technique for land cover and land use mapping. Among the other tested variations of DTW, the algorithm without time restrictions had the worst results. The linear TWDTW method, that has a large time-weight, also has unsatisfactory results. The second-best result was obtained by the DTW method with a fixed time delay. The time-weighted DTW had better results than the alternatives that use DTW, and also achieved good overall results, with a global accuracy of 87.32%.

Our classification using the logistic TWDTW has higher accuracy and spatial resolution than the MODIS land cover product. Forest and cropland areas are in line with the Amazon Monitoring Program PRODES and with the Brazilian national cropland surveys, respectively. These results highlight the potential of the TWDTW to improve land use and land cover products and contribute to agricultural statistics.
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